

United States District Court For the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Angela Harris 6219 Shelbourne Street Philadelphia, PA 19111

Plaintiff :

17

0602

v.

Simons Agency, Inc. 4963 Wintersweet Drive Liverpool, NY 13088 riamun

Jury Trial Demanded

Defendant

COMPLAINT

- 1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692, et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA").
- Jurisdiction and venue is proper in this jurisdiction because Defendants transact business here on a regular basis.
- 3. Jurisdiction and venue is proper in this jurisdiction pursuant to 28 USC 1337 et. seq.
- Plaintiff is Angela Harris, an adult individual with an address of 1139 Hemlock Farms, Hawley, PA 18428.

- 5. Defendants are the following persons and business entities.
 - Simons Agency, Inc., a business entity with an address including but not
 limited to the following, 4963 Wintersweet Drive, Liverpool, NY 13088.
 - b. X,Y,Z Corporations, business identities whose identities are not know to Plaintiff at this time, but which will become known upon proper discovery. It is believed and averred that such entities played a substantial role in the commission of the acts described in this complaint.

COUNT ONE: Violation of FDCPA, 15 USC 1692e et. seq. Misstatement of the Character, nature or Amount of the Debt

- 6. The previous paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference.
- At all times mentioned in this Complaint, Defendant was a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA.
- 8. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant was attempting to collect on an alleged "consumer debt" against Plaintiff, as that term is defined by the FDCPA.
- 9. The alleged consumer debt that Defendant was trying to collect from Plaintiff's personal, household and family purposes.
- 10. The alleged consumer debt that Defendant was trying to collect from Plaintiff was for a furniture for Plaintiff's home and Plaintiff's family at the above captioned address.
- 11. Prior to the commencement of this action Defendant began servicing the account for collection purposes.
- 12. On or about October 6, 2016, Defendant sent Plaintiff a letter, a copy of which is attached in the exhibits to this complaint.
- 13. The letter indicated that there was no interest associated with the account.
- 14. On or about October 14, 2016, Plaintiff's attorney, Vicki Piontek, and Defendant's agent, representative or employee engaged in a telephone conversation.

- 15. During said telephone conversation on or about October 14, 2016, Defendant's agent, representative or employee stated that there was interest associated with the account, but did not state the amount of such interest.
- 16. By stating or implying in the October 6, 2016 letter that there was no interest for the account, and then stating on the telephone on or about October 14, 2016, that there was interest, Defendant stated a logical contradiction about the interest on the account.
- 17. By stating or implying in the October 6, 2016 letter that there was no interest for the account, and then stating on the telephone on or about October 14, 2016, that there was interest for the account. Defendant misstated the character, nature and amount of the alleged debt.

COUNT TWO: Violation of FDCPA, 15 USC 1692e and / or 15 USC 1692f et. seq. False, Deceptive, Illegal and Unconscionable Collection Activity

- 18. The previous paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference.
- 19. At all times mentioned in this Complaint, Defendant was a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA.
- 20. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant was attempting to collect on an alleged "consumer debt" against Plaintiff, as that term is defined by the FDCPA.
- 21. The alleged consumer debt that Defendant was trying to collect from Plaintiff was for Plaintiff's personal, household and family purposes.
- 22. The alleged consumer debt that Defendant was trying to collect from Plaintiff was for a furniture for Plaintiff's home and Plaintiff's family at the above captioned address.
- 23. Prior to the commencement of this action Defendant began servicing the account for collection purposes.
- 24. On or about October 6, 2016, Defendant sent Plaintiff a letter, a copy of which is attached in the exhibits to this complaint.
- 25. The letter indicated that there was no interest associated with the account.
- 26. On or about October 14, 2016, and then again on or about October 19, 2016, Plaintiff's attorney, Vicki Piontek, and Defendant's agent, representative or employee engaged in a telephone conversation.

- 27. During said telephone conversations on or about October 14, 2016, and then again on or about October 19, 2016, Defendant's agent(s), representative(s) or employee(s) stated that the account for the alleged debt that Plaintiff was attempting to collect from Plaintiff would continue to be reported to a credit reporting agency(ies) for 7 years from the date that Defendant first started reporting the account to the credit reporting agency(ies), even though the account was approximately two years delinquent when Defendant first began to report it to the consumer reporting agencies.
- 28. According to 15 USC 1681c(c) of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, a derogatory account may legally remain on a consumer's report for a period of no longer than 7.5 years from the date of the delinquency.
- 29. Defendant(s)' false, misleading statements and / or implications were designed to harass and intimidate Plaintiff.
- 30. By engaging in the above referenced activity and related activity, Defendant attempted to re-age the account to cause the account to appear longer on Plaintiff's consumer report.
- 31. Defendant(s)' false, misleading statements and / or implications were designed to extract payment from Plaintiff.
- 32. Defendant(s)' false, misleading statements and / or implications were unconscionable.
- 33. Defendant(s) statements were intended to harass, intimidate, confuse and deceive Plaintiff.
- 34. Defendant(s)' false, misleading statements and / or implications violated 15 USC 1692e and 15 1692 f of the FDCPA.
- 35. Defendant violated 15 USC 1692(e) by making materially false statements to Plaintiff.

- 36. Defendant(s) violated 15 USC 1692(f) by engaging in illegal and unconscionable debt collection practices.
- 37. Plaintiff's statements were not false or misleading, and Defendant did intend to report the derogatory information about the consumer accounts on Plaintiff's consumer report for 7 years from the date that Defendant(s) first began to report the accounts, then Defendant would have been engaged in illegal and unconscionable collection activity in violation of 15 USC 1692f et. seq.

LIABILITY AND DAMAGES

- 38. All previous paragraphs of this complaint are incorporated by reference and made a part of this complaint.
- 39. Plaintiff reserves the right to pierce the corporate veil under the doctrine of under-capitalization.
- 40. Plaintiff reserves the right to pierce the corporate veil under the doctrine of alter ego.
- 41. Any mistake made by Defendant(s) would have included a mistake of law.
- 42. Any mistake made by Defendant(s) would not have been a reasonable or bona fide mistake.
- 43. Plaintiff's actual damages are \$1.00 more or less, including but not limited to postage, phone calls, fax, etc. to her attorney to stop the unlawful collection activity.
- 44. It is believed and averred that Plaintiff is entitled to \$1,000.00 statutory damages under the FDCPA 15 USC 1692k, or other amount approved by this Honorable Court.
- 45. Plaintiff suffered some emotional distress, confusion and anger as a result of Defendant's unlawful collection activity, with a Dollar value to be determined by this Honorable Court or other Court of competent jurisdiction.
- 46. For purposes of a default judgment, Plaintiff believes and avers that such distress has a Dollar value of no less than \$5,000.00.

STANDING UNDER ARTICLE 3 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION

- 47. The previous paragraphs of this Complaint are incorporated by reference and made a part of this Complaint.
- 48. A plaintiff invoking federal jurisdiction under Article III of the U.S. Constitution bears the burden of (1) an injury in fact, (2) fairly traceable to the challenged conduct of the defendant, and (3) likely to be redressed by a favorable judicial decision. See Spokeo v. Robbins U.S. Supreme Court Opinion of the Honorable Justice Samuel Alito, 13-1119 (2016), quoting Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife, 504 U. S. 555 (1992).
- 49. The injury-in-fact requirement requires a plaintiff to show that he or she suffered "an invasion of a legally protected interest" that is "particularized" and "concrete."
- 50. The "particularization" requirement means that an injury must i.e., the requirement that an injury must "affect the plaintiff in a personal and individual way;" See Spokeo v. Robbins U.S.

 Supreme Court opinion of the Honorable Justice Samuel Alito, 13-1119 (2016), quoting Lujan v.

 Defenders of Wildlife, 504 U. S. 555 (1992). Particularization also means that the Plaintiff must have personally has suffered some actual or threatened injury; See Spokeo v. Robbins U.S.

 Supreme Court Opinion of the Honorable Justice Samuel Alito, 13-1119 (2016), quoting United States v. Richardson, 418 U. S. 166, 177 (1974).
- 51. "Concreteness" is quite different from particularization. Particularization is necessary to establish injury in fact, but it is not sufficient. An injury in fact must also be "concrete." and requires an injury to be "de facto," that is, to actually exist. The injury must be "real," and "not abstract." See Spokeo v. Robbins U.S. Supreme Court opinion of the Honorable Justice Samuel Alito, 13-1119 (2016).

- 52. A "concrete" injury need not be a measurable or "tangible" injury. "Concrete" is not, however, necessarily synonymous with "tangible." Although tangible injuries are perhaps easier to recognize, intangible injuries can nevertheless be concrete. See Spokeo v. Robbins U.S. Supreme Court opinion of the Honorable Justice Samuel Alito, 13-1119 (2016), and quoting Pleasant Grove City v. Summum, 555 U. S. 460 (2009). Also see Spokeo v. Robbins U.S. Supreme Court concurring Opinion of the Honorable Justice Clarence Thomas, 13-1119 (2016).
- 53. Congress can create new private causes of action to vindicate private or public rights, and can authorize private plaintiffs to sue based simply on the violation of those private rights. A Plaintiff seeking to vindicate a statutorily created private right need not allege actual harm beyond the invasion of that private right; see Spokeo v. Robbins, 13-1119 (2016), Opinion of the Honorable Justice Clarence Thomas quoting Warth v. Seldin, 422 U. S. 490, 500 (1975) and also quoting Havens Realty Corp. v. Coleman, 455 U. S. 363, 373–374 (1982).
- 54. Plaintiff suffered an actual concrete injury when Defendant engaged in the above referenced prohibited unlawful collection activity in violation of 15 USC 1692 et. seq.
- 55. Plaintiff and Plaintiff's attorney were confused and misled about the statements in the aforementioned telephone conversation.
- 56. Plaintiff suffered a concrete harm because Plaintiff suffered emotional distress as a result of the statements contained in the aforementioned conversation.
- 57. Plaintiff suffered a concrete harm because Plaintiff now lives in fear that Defendant will re-age te account in such a way that it remains on Plaintiffs consumer report / consumer file for a longer period of time than the law allows.

58. A key factor that the Court should apply in determining Defendant's actions to be a "concrete harm" is the willful nature of Defendant's conduct. Defendant's flagrant flaunting of the law of 15 USC 1692 et. seq. was willful and dangerous thus manifesting a "concrete harm" to not only the Plaintiff but other consumers deprived of statutory conferred rights, including the right to be free from false statements and improper threats to collect debts by making one or more false statements.

ATTORNEY FEES

- 59. The previous paragraphs of this Complaint are incorporated by reference and made a part of this Complaint.
- 60. Plaintiff believes and avers that Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable attorney fees for prosecuting this action pursuant to 15 USC 1692k.
- 61. Plaintiff believes and avers that the reasonable value of Plaintiff's services is no less than \$350.00 per hour.
- 62. Plaintiff believes and avers that Plaintiff's is entitled to reasonable attorney fees of \$1,750.00 at a rate of \$350.00 per hour which includes the following.

a. Consultation with client and review of file
b. Drafting, editing, review, filing of complaint and related documents.
c. Reasonable follow up with Defense and client
5 hours

5x \$350 = \$1,750.00

- 63. The above stated attorney fees represent work performed up and until the filing of this Complaint as well as reasonable follow up with client and Defense.
- 64. Plaintiff's attorney fees continue to accrue as this case progresses.

OTHER RELIEF

- 65. The previous paragraphs of this Compliant are incorporated by reference and made a part of this Complaint.
- 66. Plaintiff requests such other relief as this court may deem just and proper.
- 67. Plaintiff demands a jury trial in this matter.
- 68. Plaintiff seeks fees and costs for prosecuting this action.
- 69. Plaintiff seeks such other relief as this Honorable Court deems just and proper.

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants jointly and severally for in the amount of \$7,751.00 enumerated below.

\$1.00 actual damages

\$1,000.00 statutory damages

\$5,000 emotional distress

\$1,750.00 attorney fees

\$7,751

Plaintiff also requests such other relief as this Honorable Court deems just and proper.

Plaintiff demands fees and costs for prosecuting this action. Plaintiff demands a jury trial.

Plaintiff requests such other relief as this Honorable Court deems just and proper.

Date

Vishi Pionteh 2-9-17

Vicki Piontek., Esquire Attorney for Plaintiff 951 Allentown Road Lansdale, PA 19446 877-737-8617 palaw@justice.com

Fax: 866-408-6735

EXHIBITS

Angela Harris 6219 Shelbourne Street Philadelphia, PA 19111 215

SIMONS AGENCY, INC. 4963 WINTERSWEET DRIVE LIVERPOOL, NY 13088

Re: Angela Harris SSN 2 Alleged Original Creditor: RAYMOUR FLANIGAN Account Number XXXX Alleged Balance \$2,154

DISPUTE

Your company has placed derogatory information about the above referenced account on my credit report. I wish to dispute the above referenced account.

I am not disputing that this account pertains to me. But I am disputing the amount of the alleged balance which you are claiming.

Please provide me with a copy of the contract which proves the amount of the alleged balance which you are claiming. I am requesting a copy of the governing contract for the account. If you do not have a contract, then please explain why not.

Unless your provide this information to me, please remove this account from my consumer report.

Sincerely.

Angelo Havi 9/21/16

DOB 1



Thursday, October 06, 2016

Simon's File Number:

Principle Amount Due: \$2,153.63 Interest Amount Due: \$0.00

Last Payment Date:

Last Payment Amount: \$0.00

We are in receipt of your letter dated 09/21/2016 regarding your dispute of account under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA) and your request for verification.

PHONE: 315.454.8833

In that regard, the original creditor and owner of the account, along with their contact information is:

Name: RAYMOUR & FLANIGAN (RFC)

Address: PO BOX 130

City, State, Zip: LIVERPOOL, NY 13088

As of the date of this letter, the amount due on the account is: \$2153.63

Very Truly yours,

SIMON'S AGENCY INC.

Simon's Agency, Inc., is a debt collector and this is an action to attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.



Thursday, October 06, 2016

Simon's File Number:

Principle Amount Due: \$2,153.63 Interest Amount Due: \$0.00

Last Payment Date:

Last Payment Amount: \$0.00

We are in receipt of your letter dated 2016-09-21 regarding your dispute of account under the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA).

PHONE: 315.454.8833

The original creditor and owner of the account, along with their contact information is:

Name: RAYMOUR & FLANIGAN (RFC)

Address: PO BOX 130

City, State, Zip: LIVERPOOL, NY 13088

As of the date of this letter, the amount due on the account is: \$2153.63.

We have investigated your dispute and found the item is being reported as accurate. Notwithstanding, based on your communication to our office, we have notified the respective Credit Bureaus that this item is being disputed by you.

Very Truly yours,

SIMON'S AGENCY INC.

Simon's Agency, Inc., is a debt collector and this is an action to attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

PA 19111

Zone Code :

Company : RAY RCINQ - Revolving Customer Inquiry Page Date Run: 10/06/16 Customer: Current Contract Contract: 1 Time Run: 15:01:03

Customer: HARRA91471 6219 SHELBOURNE STREET ANGELA HARRIS

XNLE

Business Ph:

Home Ph : 267-

PHILADELPHIA Contract: 1 Bill Cycle : 598 55.00 1st Pmt Dt: 12/27/10 Bad Debt Dt: Store Code: K5 Min Pmt:

Invoice #	Reference	Post Date	Mop	Transact: Cd / Description		Type / Description	Stat	Purchase	Payment	Balance
12260K5NVQJ	SOM: FROM OPEN	12/27/10	. •			Dollar Transfer	P		1140.00-	1140.00~
12260K5NVQJ		12/29/10	1		SAL	Sale	P	3795.88		2655.88
	01301006000	01/30/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA	PMT	Payment	P		55.00-	2600.88
	02281007508	02/28/11	CX	CHECK		Payment	P		55.00-	2545.88
	03301006000	03/30/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA	PMT	Payment	P		55.00-	2490.88
	04301006000	04/30/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA		Payment	P		55.00-	2435.8B
	05281006000	05/28/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA	PMT	Payment	P		55.00-	2380.88
	07011006000	07/01/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA		Payment	P		55.00-	2325.88
	07301006000	07/30/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA		Payment	P		55.00-	2270.88
	09021006000	09/02/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA	PMT	Payment	₽		55.00-	2215.88
	10011006000	10/01/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA	PMT	Payment	P		55.00-	2160.88
	10301006000	10/30/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA	PMT	Payment	₽		5 5 .00-	2105.88
	12011006000	12/01/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA	PMT	Payment	P		55.00-	2050.88
		01/13/12		•	LC	Late Charge	P	2.75		2053.63
		02/11/12			LC	Late Charge	Þ	25.00		2078.63
		03/11/12			LC	Late Charge	P	25.00		2103.63
	03202004501	03/20/12	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA	PMT	Payment	P		1000.00-	1103.63
	From RAY-JACOS	03/21/12			MSD	Misapplied	P	1000.00		2103.63
		05/11/12			LC	Late Charge	₽	25.00		2128.63
		06/11/12			LC	Late Charge	₽	25.00		2153.63
	WRITE OFF	07/24/12			WRC	Writeoff of a Debit	P		2153.63-	.00
						Contract Total:	1	4898.63	4890.63-	.00
						Customer Total:	:	7052.26	4898.63-	2153.63

Past Due Types / Amounts	Past Due	History	Deviation Type History	Legal Action History
0 CURRENT .00	06/04/12 6 05/02/12 5 04/03/12 4	7 PAST DUE 6 PAST DUE 5 PAST DUE 4 PAST DUE 2 PAST DUE 1 PAST DUE 1 PAST DUE CURRENT	PRO Promised A 05/07/12 165.00 STF STOP PINAN 01/07/15 .00	

CURRENT

0

Comments

Open To Spend

High Credit Limit : .00 Revolving Balance: 2153.63

07/25/12 CNTR:100 OPEN DT:24-JUL-12 REPORT DT: 4-12-2012 SCORE: 05/04/12 # is jarrd53619 didnt need to change addies on accts yet

01/04/11

Angela Harris 6219 Shelbourne Street Philadelphia, PA 19111 215-

SIMONS AGENCY, INC. 4963 WINTERSWEET DRIVE LIVERPOOL, NY 13088

Re: Angela Harris SSN DOB Alleged Original Creditor: RAYMOUR FLANIGAN
Account Number XXXXX
Alleged Balance \$2,154

DISPUTE

Your company has placed derogatory information about the above referenced account on my credit report. I wish to dispute the above referenced account.

I am not disputing that this account pertains to me. But I am disputing the amount of the alleged balance which you are claiming.

Please provide me with a copy of the contract which proves the amount of the alleged balance which you are claiming. I am requesting a copy of the governing contract for the account. If you do not have a contract, then please explain why not.

Unless your provide this information to me, please remove this account from my consumer report.

Sincerely.

Angela Harris



Tuesday, November 01, 2016

Simon's File Number:

Principle Amount Due: \$2,153.63

Interest Amount Due: \$0.00

Last Payment Date:

Last Payment Amount: \$0.00

We are in receipt of your letter dated 10/11/2016 regarding your dispute of account under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA) and your request for verification.

In that regard, the original creditor and owner of the account, along with their contact information is:

Name: RAYMOUR & FLANIGAN (RFC)

Address: PO BOX 130

City, State, Zip: LIVERPOOL, NY 13088

As of the date of this letter, the amount due on the account is: \$2153.63

Very Truly yours,

SIMON'S AGENCY INC.

Simon's Agency, Inc., is a debt collector and this is an action to attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

LIVERPOOL, NY 13088 PHONE: 315.454.8833 4963 WINTERSWEET DR.



Tuesday, November 01, 2016

Simon's File Number:

Principle Amount Due: \$2,153.63

Interest Amount Due: \$0.00

Last Payment Date:

Last Payment Amount: \$0.00

We are in receipt of your letter dated 2016-10-11 regarding your dispute of account under the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA).

The original creditor and owner of the account, along with their contact information is:

Name: RAYMOUR & FLANIGAN (RFC)

Address: PO BOX 130

City, State, Zip: LIVERPOOL, NY 13088

As of the date of this letter, the amount due on the account is: \$2153.63.

We have investigated your dispute and found the item is being reported as accurate. Notwithstanding, based on your communication to our office, we have notified the respective Credit Bureaus that this item is being disputed by you.

Very Truly yours,

SIMON'S AGENCY INC.

Simon's Agency, Inc., is a debt collector and this is an action to attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

4963 WINTERSWEET DR.

LIVERPOOL, NY 13088

PHONE: 315.454.8833

Case 2:17-cv-00602-JP Document 3 Filed 02/13/17 Page 23 of 23

PA 19111

55.00

Zone Code :

Company : RAY
Customer: RCINQ - Revolving Customer Inquiry Current Contract Page : Date Run: 10/06/16 Time Run: 15:01:03 Contract: 1

Min Pmt:

Customer:	HARRA91471	ANGELA HARRIS	6219 SHELBOURNE	STREET

Bill Cycle : 598

Contract: 1

Home Ph : 267 Business Ph: XNLE PHILADELPHIA Bad Debt Dt: 1st Pmt Dt: 12/27/10

Store Code: K5

Invoice #	Reference	Post Date	Mop	Cd / Description	Trai	n Type / Descripti	on Stat	Purchase	Payment	Balance
12260K5NVQJ	SOM: FROM OPEN					Dollar Transfer	P		1140.00-	1140.00-
12260K5NVOJ		12/29/10			SAL	Sale	P	3795.88		2655.88
_	01301006000	01/30/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA *		Payment	P		55.00-	2600.88
	02281007508	02/28/11	CK	CHECK		Payment	P		55.00-	2545.88
	03301006000	03/30/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA		Payment	P		55.00-	2490.88
	04301006000	04/30/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA		Payment	P		55.00-	2435.B8
	05281006000	05/28/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA		Payment	P		55.00-	2380.88
	07011006000	07/01/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA		Payment	₽		55.00-	2325.88
	07301006000	07/30/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA		Payment	P		55.00-	2270.88
	09021006000	09/02/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA		Payment	P		55.00 <i>-</i>	2215.88
	10011006000	10/01/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA		Payment	P		55.00-	2160.88
	10301006000	10/30/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA		Payment	P		55.00-	2105.88
	12011006000	12/01/11	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA	PMT		P		55.00-	2050.88
		01/13/12			LC	Late Charge	P	2.75		2053.63
		02/11/12			LC	Late Charge	P	25.00		2078.63
		03/11/12			LC	Late Charge	P	25.00		2103.63
	03202004501	03/20/12	MV	CREDIT MCARD/VISA	PMT	Payment	P		1000.00-	1103.63
	From RAY-JACOS	03/21/12		•	MSD	Misapplied	P	1000.00		2103.63
		05/11/12			LC	Late Charge	P	25.00		2128.63
		06/11/12			LC	Late Charge	P	25.00		2153.63
	WRITE OFF	07/24/12			WRC	Writeoff of a Dek	oit P		2153.63-	.00
		•				Contract Tot	al:	4898.63	4898.63-	.00
						Customer Tot	cal:	7052.26	4898.63-	2153.63

Past Due Types /	Amounts	Past	Due :	History	Deviation Type Histor	У	Legal A	Action History
0 CURRENT	.00	04/03/12	6 5 4 3	2 PAST DUE 1 PAST DUE	PRO Promised A 05/07/12 STF STOP FINAN 01/07/15	165.00 .00		
								m To Coord

Open To Spend

High Credit Limit : Revolving Balance: 2153.63

07/25/12 CNTR:100 OPEN DT:24-JUL-12 REPORT DT: 4-12-2012 SCORE 05/04/12 # is jarrd53619 didnt need to change addies on accts yet

Comments